



(41)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P. No. 2642 of 2006

Petitioner	Smt. Mena Bai, wife of Shri Malikram, aged about 33 years, Gram Panchayat - Bilaigarh, District Raipur (C.G.)
Versus	
Respondents	Smt. Gend Bai, wife of Shri Ganshyam Patel, aged about 31 years, resident of village Jevradih, Gram Panchayat - Singhichhua, Tahsilo Bilaigarh, District Raipur (C.G.)
	2. Smt. Prem Bai, wife of Shri Karamchand, Gram Panchayat - Singhichhua, Tahsil - Bilaigarh, District Raipur (C.G.)
	3. Smt. Yashoda Bai, wife of not known, Gram Doto, Tah. Bilaigarh, District Raipur (C.G.)
	4. Smt. Rambai, wife of not known, Gram - Doto, Tahsil Bilaigarh, Distt. Raipur (C.G.)
	5. Smt. Laxmin Bai, wife of not known, Gram Panchayat Doto, Tahsil Bilaigarh, Distt. Raipur (C.G.)
	6. Smt. Sakun Bai, wife of Munauram, Gram Panchayat Singhichhua, Tah. Bilaigarh, Distt. Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
	7. Returning Officer, Polling Booth No. 127 Gram Panchayat - Singhichhua, Tahsil Bilaigarh, District Raipur (C.G.)
	8. Returning Officer, Polling Booth No. 128, Gram Panchayat Singhichhua, Tahsil Bilaigarh, District Raipur (C.G.)
	9. Returning Officer, Polling Booth No. 129, Gram Panchayat - Singh, Tahsil Bilaigarh, Distt. Raipur (C.G.)
	10. Presiding Officer (Panchayat), Tahsil Bilaigarh, Distt. Raipur (C.G.)
	11. Sub Divisional Officer, Bilaigarh, Distt. Raipur (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

SB: Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J

Shri Rajesh Pandey, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri Vinay Harit, Deputy Advocate General for the respondents/State.

ORDER

(Passed on this 22nd day of August, 2007)

1. By this petition, the petitioner seeks a direction to the extent of compliance of the order dated 5.8.2005 passed in Writ Petition No. 3472/2005 (Smt. Gendbai Vs. Smt. Meena Bai & others), wherein this Court ordered as under:-

“In view of the above, the Specified Officer before announcing the result shall get these 15 votes examined by the chemical examiner and thereafter, record his finding as to whether there is any merit in the objection raised by the petitioner and there was any possibility of manipulations after the original counting took place, and shall decide the election petition thereafter.”

2. No writ can be issued directing compliance of the order passed by this Court. The petitioner has other alternative forum seeking compliance of the order passed by this Court by taking appropriate steps. There is no merit in the case.
3. The petition is accordingly dismissed. However, the petitioner is at liberty to take recourse to the alternative forum, that may be available to her.

Thakur

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge